
**Town of Nags Head
Planning Board
June 18, 2024
- DRAFT -**

The Planning Board of the Town of Nags Head met on Tuesday, June 18, 2024, in the Board Room at the Nags Head Municipal Complex.

Chair Vaughan called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. as a quorum was present.

Members Present

Megan Vaughan, Meade Gwinn, Molly Harrison, David Elder, Gary Ferguson, Kristi Wright, David Thompson

Members Absent

None

Others Present

Kelly Wyatt, Joe Costello, Andy Garman, David Ryan, Lily Nieberding

Approval of Agenda

David Elder moved to approve the agenda as presented. David Thompson seconded, and the motion passed by unanimous vote.

Public Comment/Audience Response

Frederick Jordan, property owner on S. Kingfisher Street. Mr. Jordan is concerned that it's already hard to get out of his street onto the bypass; he is concerned about the hotel coming in and all the traffic that will follow. Mr. Jordan believes that there should be a stoplight at the intersection of Lakeside and S. Croatan Hwy and at minimum they (the applicants) need to add a turning lane and that it should be the hotel's responsibility.

Amy Klauser, property owner on S. Old Road. Ms. Klauser's concern is the congestion on W. Lakeside that will only get worse with the hotel coming. There are semis (trucks) that do delivery to the gas station, there is already a lot of congestion and awkwardness in that intersection and believe the hotel will add to it.

Colleen Hogan, property owner on S. Kingfisher Street. Ms. Hogan confirmed what her neighbors previously stated noting that there is already a lot of congestion at the corner of the intersection due to the gas station and she and her neighbors are nervous about the traffic coming from the hotel, being unable to get out of her neighborhood and possible safety issues.

Nancy Drumheller, property owner on S. Old Road; they have owned the home for many years and are seriously concerned about the congestion and safety issues due to the decision to have the hotel exit and enter from Lakeside Drive. Mrs. Drumheller also has concerns about possible Stormwater runoff.

Approval of Minutes

Chair Vaughan asked for a motion to approve the minutes of the May 21, 2024, meeting with some editing corrections. David Elder moved to approve the minutes as corrected; Meade Gwinn seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

Action Items

Consideration Of a Site Plan Amendment for the construction of an 87-unit Hotel (Inn at Whalebone), including a request to eliminate or modify the condition of the October 4, 2023, Board of Commissioners approval that the existing Lakeside Street roadway be expanded to accommodate three (3) lands of traffic, and the three (3) lanes should extend to the most westward entrance of the hotel off Lakeside Street. The property is zoned SPD-C Village Hoel and is located within the Hotel Overlay District, located at 6632 Pheasant Avenue/Lakeside Drive, Nags Head.

Planning Director Kelly Wyatt presented the proposed site plan for the Board's review and reminded the Board that this will be the third time this item has been brought forth for the Board's consideration. Ms. Wyatt also reminded the Board that the proposed location of the hotel is in the C2 General Commercial Zoning district as well as the Hotel Overlay District and as such, it is permitted use.

Today, the applicant is asking the Board to consider two different elements; the first is a site plan amendment which involves some deviations to the actual site plan that have occurred since their previous approval on October 4th, 2023. The other item is a request to consider either eliminating or modifying a previous condition placed by the Board of Commissioners.

Ms. Wyatt explained that at their October 4, 2023, meeting, the Nags Head Board of Commissioners reviewed the Site Plan Application and voted unanimously to approve the site plan with two conditions:

1. The existing roadway was to be expanded to accommodate three (3) lanes of traffic, and the three (3) lanes should extend to the most westward entrance of the hotel off Lakeside Street.
2. An approved subdivision plat addressing the portions of lots 24, 29, 30 and 31 and a recombination plat addressing lots 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 22, 23, 26 and 27 be approved and filed at the Dare County Register of Deeds prior to the issuance of any development permits for the proposed hotel project. Shortly after the site plan approval, the applicant worked with Bissell Professional Group to prepare the necessary subdivision plat and have it recorded with the Dare County Register of Deeds. Condition # 2 has been satisfied.

The applicant is requesting that consideration be given to eliminate in whole, or modify, the requirements imposed by Condition #1 as it relates to the widening of Lakeside Street.

The applicant has outlined the site plan modifications from the most recent Board of Commissioners approval. They note that many of the modifications were in response to achieving state stormwater and wastewater approvals.

These modifications include:

- This project was originally approved as a 90-unit hotel; however, the revised plan seeks approval for an 87-unit hotel.
- The overall area of the proposed building has increased by 729 square feet.

- With the proposed reduction in hotel units, 87 parking spaces are now required. The revised parking is compliant with 90 proposed spaces.
- Building lot coverage has increased from 12.94% to 13.4%. With 35% allowed, this lot coverage remains compliant.
- Parking lot coverage has increased from 36.18% to 38.6%. With 45% allowed, this lot coverage remains compliant.
- The minimum required landscaped area of 20% remains at 28%.
- Numerous modifications have been made to the stormwater management design based on requirements of the State Stormwater permit.

Town Engineer David Ryan has reviewed the revised plans and the stormwater management design information submitted appears to be in substantial compliance with the Town of Nags Head Unified Development Ordinance. Mr. Ryan noted that the stormwater narrative on Sheet C-103 indicates a Seasonal High Water Table Elevation of 3.50' MSL. The typical infiltration basin detail indicates a SHWL of 4.8'. This discrepancy should be clarified.

Ms. Wyatt noted that based upon the staff's analysis, the proposed modifications remain compliant with the requirements of the Unified Development Ordinance.

With regards to the second item, eliminating or modifying the condition related to the Lakeside Street Widening, MacConnell & Associates, P.C. has provided a Technical Memorandum outlining the impacts and challenges associated with this request. They also provided a Turn Lane Exhibit for town staff and Board consideration, which Ms. Wyatt included in the Board's agenda packet. Ms. Wyatt briefly reviewed this exhibit as well as Mr. Ryan's comments for the Board.

"Lakeside Street Turn Lane Exhibit- *A condition was placed on the previous site plan approval to widen the Lakeside St roadway extending from S. Croatan Hwy. to the westernmost boundary of the subject property. With the existing Lakeside St. right-of-way width at 40' there are some impacts associated with a roadway widening of this length. These impacts include runoff onto adjoining properties and potential conflicts with above ground utilities. However, there is still an opportunity to provide roadway widening to meet the intent of the previous Board of Commissioners conditioned approval.*

The applicant has submitted an exhibit which shows a reduced widening plan from the eastern property boundary. This concept indicates an encroachment onto the adjoining undeveloped property to the south. Town Engineer has proposed a plan mark-up which describes a potential alternative roadway widening approach which may not result in improvements extending onto private property. Staff is recommending is for the applicant to modify the traffic improvements for Lakeside St. to reflect the approach as identified in the alternative plan mark-up.

This approval would be conditioned on the applicant acquiring detailed survey information for the development of a roadway widening and construction plan in accordance with Chapter 36-4 of the Town Code of Ordinances. It should be noted that "a temporary construction easement may be required from the owner of the undeveloped property to the south for the purposes of construction in addition to a right-of-way encroachment through NCDOT."

Based on their review and analysis of the proposed site plan amendments, Staff finds that the modifications comply with the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) and recommend approval as presented.

With regards to the applicant's request to remove or modify the condition to widen Lakeside Street, Staff believes that while fully meeting this condition could impact runoff onto adjacent properties and conflict with above-ground utilities, there is an opportunity to widen a portion of the roadway. This approach would fulfill the intent of the Board of Commissioners' conditioned approval without encroaching on adjoining properties and asks that should the Planning Board be inclined to consider a modification, that they go with the alternative roadway design proposed by the Town Engineer.

Ms. Wyatt noted that there were several people available today to answer any questions including Town Engineer David Ryan, Bryan Seawell and David Neff (previously with House Engineering) they are taking the lead on the site design and the site modifications as well as Gary McConnell with McConnell and Associates, who worked on the road widening project.

Bryan Seawell addressed the Board and spoke on behalf of the applicant. Mr. Seawell thanked Staff for their assistance on the project. Mr. Seawell then explained that they are planning on adding a turning lane at the hotel's expense. Mr. Seawell acknowledged and understands the neighbors' concern about traffic and congestion, and he believes this meets the intent of the Commissioners' condition. They have contacted the adjacent property owners and are working with them to get their approvals for the construction easement.

Mr. Gwinn asked about the possibility of a traffic light at that intersection. Mr. Seawell noted that they did a traffic study which was reviewed by NC DOT and NC DOT did not feel that a stoplight was warranted based on the study. Ms. Wyatt confirmed that the study was submitted and reviewed by NC DOT just prior to the October 2022 BOC meeting.

Mr. Seawell confirmed for Mr. Elder that they expect to have one to two occupants per room. Mr. Elder noted that due to stacking and late check-ins and check-outs there could be more than one car per unit and more than 87 people at any given time.

Mr. Gwinn expressed concerns about future impacts given the currently existing traffic concerns. Mr. Seawell confirmed for Mr. Gwinn that when NC DOT reviewed the traffic study, they were given all of the information and proposed site plans.

Ms. Wyatt confirmed for Chair Vaughan that previously the Planning Board had recommended that another traffic study be done after the hotel has been completed.

Ms. Wyatt reminded the Board that when the traffic study was done it considered the 90 rooms and additional parking spaces that were being proposed at that time. She also noted that the Site Plan was approved by the Board of Commissioners on October 4, 2023 and is valid through October 4, 2024.

David Ryan, Town Engineer addressed the Board. Mr. Ryan clarified that the traffic impact analysis was performed by a consultant, at the request of staff. The impact study covered the end of the peak period (September), was sent to NC DOT for review, and it was determined that no traffic light was necessary. When the results of the study and DOT determination were presented to the BOC, the Commissioners requested a turning lane as a condition of approval.

Mr. Ryan then discussed the modified proposal explaining that taking the turning lane all the way back as originally suggested would have impacted those properties on the south side of Lakeside and would also impact existing utilities such as water mains and water lines. Mr. Ryan also noted that based on the topography of that area, adding pavement could also affect the nearby houses with stormwater runoff. Mr. Ryan noted that the configuration is similar to that off Eighth Street.

Mr. Ryan confirmed for Ms. Harrison that while Eighth Street does have a stoplight and Lakeside doesn't, this alternative option sets them up for a future stoplight.

Mr. Ryan confirmed for Ms. Harrison that all things considered this was the best solution for this application.

The Board proceeded to discuss the proposal with Mr. Elder noting that the proposal meets the requirements of the UDO.

Mr. Gwinn noted that he liked the proposed alternative for several reasons: it minimizes the impact on the neighboring properties and underground utilities but it also gives an opportunity to have a traffic re-study in the future. Mr. Gwinn reiterated his concern is for pedestrian safety, especially those people that will be heading towards the beach.

Chair Vaughan agreed with Mr. Gwinn noting that it was a compromise.

Ms. Wyatt confirmed for Mr. Ferguson that the Town Attorney has no issues with request; Lakeside is a Town owned street.

Meade Gwinn moved to recommend approval as presented with the Town Engineer's alternative proposal for the road widening. Megan Vaughan seconded, and the motion carried 4 to 3 with Molly Harrison, Kristi Wright and David Elder casting the Nay votes.

Consideration Of Various Amendments to the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) as it pertains to the use of multifamily dwelling developments.

Town Manager Andy Garman presented the results of the working group that the Board of Commissioners formed back in March to study the multifamily ordinance that the Planning Board had originally drafted. Mr. Garman noted that this is the presentation that staff gave to the Commissioners at their last meeting.

Mr. Garman explained that staff is not necessarily asking for any action from the Planning Board today rather than just wanting the Board to understand and digest what the working group came up with and ask questions. Mr. Garman noted that the original ordinance as well as the working group's ordinance were attached as part of the agenda packet so that the Board could see where the changes were made.

The Planning Board developed a draft multi-family development ordinance over the course of eight months in 2023. The draft ordinance was presented to the Board of Commissioners during the February 7th Board of Commissioners meeting. A Multi-Family Housing Working Group was subsequently established to further develop the draft ordinance. This group included Planning Board members Meade Gwinn and Molly Harrison, Commissioners Kevin Brinkley and Megan Lambert, some representatives from the Realtors and Homebuilders associations, and some town residents and business owners.

Over the months of March, April, and May, the Multi-Family Housing Working Group had four meetings where they worked well together towards creating an ordinance that both protected the character of Nags Head while looking to increase workforce housing stock without increasing the stock of short-term rentals.

Mr. Garman noted that the primary element of the Planning Board's ordinance was the use of deed restrictions to enforce that provision, and that has remained unchanged after the working group looked at this.

Mr. Garman explained that the Planning Board's draft ordinance included two categories of multifamily dwellings, "large" and "small". Both would only be permissible in the C-2 General Commercial Zoning District adjacent to US 158 and would require approval through the special-use permit process. The minimum lot area proposed for a large multi-family development is 26,000 sq. ft., with a minimum lot width of 150 feet. The minimum lot area proposed for the small category is 16,000 sq. ft. and there was no minimum lot width proposed.

The Planning Board's proposed unit sizes were a minimum of 800 sq. ft. and a maximum of 1,750 sq. ft. for both categories. For the small multi-family category, there is a maximum density of six units per development. Three units are allowed with the first 16,000 square feet of lot area, with 3,500 square feet required for each additional unit. For the large multi-family category, there is a maximum density of 60 units. Three units are allowed with the first 26,000 square feet of lot area, with 4,500 square feet required for each additional unit. Townhome style development was recommended for the large multi-family development category, while both apartment and townhome style were recommended for the small multi-family development category.

Mr. Garman highlighted that the working group focused on balancing the Town's design and density standards with the cost and challenges of developing workforce housing. They discussed how developers are struggling with rising interest rates, construction, and land costs. The group identified barriers within the current ordinance that affect development. They consulted with local Realtors, whose feedback emphasized the need to reduce both land and construction costs per unit to make projects financially viable. Another consideration discussed was how many properties would be available, finding that keeping development solely to lots adjacent to US 158 greatly limited how many properties could be available.

Mr. Garman explained that the draft ordinance from the Multi-Family Working Group maintains the two categories of multi-family dwellings as proposed by the Planning Board, however, while large multi-family developments would only be permissible in the C-2 General Commercial Zoning District adjacent to US 158, the small category of multi-family developments would be permissible anywhere in the C-2 General Commercial Zoning District.

The working group also discussed density at length focusing on a way to still regulate density yet allow for smaller units. Mr. Garman explained that the small multi-family category proposes a maximum density of six units per development. Four thousand (4,000) square feet of land area would be required per unit. For the large multi-family development category, there is a maximum limit of 75 units and density will be regulated using a floor area ratio. Floor Area Ratio means the quotient resulting from division of the gross floor area of all buildings on a lot by the area of the lot. FAR is expressed as decimal number and derived by dividing the total area of the building by total area of the parcel. Currently the Town has an effective floor area ratio for Large Residential Dwellings of .32. $5,000 \text{ sf maximum building area} / 16,000 \text{ min. lot size} = 0.32$. After working through several different scenarios, it was consensus of the working group to recommend that a floor area ratio of .32 sq. ft. be applied to large multi-family developments.

Additionally, the working group proposed reducing the minimum unit sizes from the Planning Board's recommended 800 square feet to 500 square feet, while maintaining the maximum unit size of 1,750 square feet. This decision was based on reviewing similar workforce housing developments in Dare County and nearby areas, where 500 square feet was deemed adequate for a one-bedroom/studio

unit. Minimum unit sizes are further regulated based on the number of bedrooms: 500 square feet for a studio/one-bedroom unit, 700 square feet for a two-bedroom unit, and 1,000 square feet for a three-bedroom unit.

Mr. Garman confirmed for Chair Vaughan that it was the consensus of the working group that a development of all one-bedroom units would not be desirable so they added a provision stating that no more than 60% of the total units shall be from any size/bedroom category. With this, a developer would have more flexibility in designing their project while offering a variety of unit sizes.

Mr. Garman also confirmed that while finance played a role, the group also discussed visual appeal and left the design standards intact from the Planning Board's version. In addition, it was the consensus of the working group to recommend limiting the maximum building size for large developments to 10,000 square feet. Small multi-family developments would have a maximum size of 5,000 sq. ft. The working group ordinance also recommends that both large and small multi-family developments may consist of both apartment and townhome style development.

The minimum lot area for the large multi-family development is proposed to remain at 26,000 sq. ft and with the minimum lot width of 150 feet, and large developments would still be required to go through the special use permit process.

The working group's proposed minimum lot area for the small category is 15,000 sq. ft. as opposed to the Planning Board's recommended 16,000 square feet. While 16,000 square feet is the minimum lot area required for the development of a Large Residential Dwelling, the minimum required lot size within the R-3, High Density Residential Zoning District is 15,000 square feet. Mr. Garman also noted that in the working group's proposed ordinance, the small category would be approved administratively by staff, similarly to Large Residential Dwellings.

Mr. Garman noted that they are working with the town attorney to finalize the deed restriction details. They need to determine whether an HOA is necessary for all circumstances, particularly considering different development scenarios. For instance, in cases where a developer proposes an apartment complex with rented units and a property manager, an HOA might not be necessary. Instead, a management company could oversee the property. The group aims to draft language that provides a flexible framework to enforce deed restrictions and manage properties effectively, recognizing that a one-size-fits-all approach may not be suitable for every development.

While not on the proposed ordinance, some other topics the working group discussed was the idea of multi-family mixed with other commercial development and Duplex development and how those could be modified as another option for workforce housing.

Mr. Ferguson noted that being that there would a lot more flexibility when it comes to building small multi-family units, he could envision development of small multi-family housing in multiple subdivided parcels rather than one large multi-family development. Mr. Garman noted that while there is a potential for that, there are also downsides to that kind of development.

Mr. Garman and the Board discussed the likelihood that someone developing a multifamily dwelling would likely be maxing out their allowable coverage. Mr. Garman noted that this would be true for most commercial development.

Mr. Garman confirmed for Chair Vaughan that the parking standard had not changed and that it was still two parking spots per unit regardless of the size of the unit or number of bedrooms.

Mr. Elder noted that now that apartments are part of large multi-family developments, they should revisit certain elements including the parking standard. The Board discussed Sugar Creek condos as an example, which are apartments built to a different standard than the FAR that is being proposed now.

Chair Vaughan and the Board also discussed the percentages of workforce vs. non-workforce housing noting that some workforce can be seasonal or temporary.

Mr. Gwinn noted that developers would likely look at current as well as future market trends when looking at potential projects. The town is creating an opportunity for developers to build something and make a reasonable return on investment; they are trying to create an environment so that the Town doesn't go 20 years with nothing built.

Ms. Harrison discussed maybe finding a way to limit the large multi-family developments to certain areas of town, having them along US 158 all over the C2 district may be too broad.

Finally the Board agreed that they need to look at the parking standards more closely and maybe see an visual example of what a development would look like using the FAR.

Consideration Of a Sketch Plan Review Application submitted by SRE Mustang, LLC (Outlets Nags Head) and the Timmons Group for the construction of a 2,279 square foot stand-alone restaurant at 7100 S. Croatan Highway (Outlets Nags Head).

Ms. Wyatt presented a Sketch Plan Review application submitted by SRE Mustang, LLC (Outlets Nags Head) and the Timmons Group for the construction of a 2,279 square foot sit down restaurant located within the existing parking lot for Outlets Nags Head. This property is zoned C-2, General Commercial and is developed as a Shopping Center/Group Development. "Restaurant-Sit Down" is permitted by right in the C-2 District and subject to the supplemental regulations provided in Section 7.31 of the Unified Development Ordinance. Additionally, "Restaurant-Sit Down" is permitted within Commercial Mixed-Use Developments, such as a Group Development, pursuant to Section 7.32.3 of the Unified Development Ordinance.

While Section 4.3, Pre-Application Meeting and Sketch Plan makes sketch plan review mandatory for new development with a total habitable building area greater than 5,000 square feet, it is recommended that any new development take advantage the sketch plan process to obtain feedback as early on possible and as such, the applicant has chosen to do so.

White there has not be an official Technical Review, at this time, the Planning Department, Town Engineer, Public Works, Fire Department and Police Department have provided comments on the sketch plan package.

Ms. Wyatt reviewed these for the Board:

Zoning

- Currently, there are 488 parking spaces at Outlets Nags Head. The proposed development would reduce this number by 28 spaces. According to the current parking requirements in the Unified Development Ordinance, a total of 395 parking spaces are needed. While the minimum parking standards for the Shopping Center/Group Development would still be met, there would still be an overall loss of 28 parking spaces.
- Although the proposed addition to Outlets Nags Head would meet the minimum parking requirements, it is important to consider that this development is extremely popular during the

summer months and in inclement weather, often resulting in full parking facilities. Any reduction in parking at this site could have negative consequences. Until we have more detailed information about the proposed restaurant, a comprehensive analysis of potential parking impacts is difficult to provide.

Site Design Standards

- Development must comply with all provisions of the Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance including elevation in compliance with the Town of Nags Head's local ordinance with Regulatory Flood Protection Elevation (RFPE) of 9 ft. msl. Floodproofing may be considered for commercial structures.
- As proposed at this phase, lot coverage would not be affected. Should this proposal result in any change in coverage, that must be shown.
- Compliance with Section 10.92.14.4 of the Unified Development Ordinance, requiring 20% of the surface area of parking area and drive aisles be constructed of permeable materials.

- Following items shall be addressed on Landscape Plan prior to TRC:
 - o Compliance with Section 10.93.3.2, Commercial Transitional Protective Yards required for shopping center/group development site.
 - o Section 10.93.3.5. Buildings Adjacent to Street Frontage. Building walls adjacent to a street frontage shall include foundation landscaping directly adjacent to the building to screen any crawl space, stem wall, lattice work, or open parking areas.
 - o Compliance with Section 10.93.3.7 as it relates to Interior Parking Lot Landscaping.
- Account for the location of mechanical equipment in the site plan.
- If existing parking lot lighting is affected, a lighting plan consistent with Section 10.37.1 of the UDO will be required prior to issuance of building permits for the proposed use. Architectural lighting will need to be reviewed as well.
- Provide authorization from the Dare County Environmental Health Department with regard to the existing wastewater treatment facility capacity.

Building Design Standards

Section 10.83 of the UDO, Design Standards, states that buildings and structures are subject to the Commercial Design Standard contained within Article 10, Part VI of the Unified Development Ordinance. Projects shall be reviewed according to the building design standards outlined in Division II of the Commercial Design Standards. Alternatively, projects adding a total habitable building area of less than 10,000 square feet may elect to comply with the building design requirements by achieving 150 points based on the criteria outlined in the Town of Nags Head Residential Design Guidelines.

The conceptual elevations provided in the packet are preliminary and represent the owner's/architect's initial design. Prior to the next Technical Review Committee Meeting and formal Planning Board Site Plan Review submittal, compliance with Article 10, Part VI, Commercial Design Standards must be demonstrated.

Town Engineer

Internal Traffic Circulation:

- A vehicular pathing exhibit is requested to demonstrate adequacy for turning radius for sanitation vehicles and fire trucks.
- Additional information is requested on the restaurant to include type of restaurant (ie. fast food, high turnover, etc.) and time of operation to review parking generation for this type of establishment. Currently, the shopping center parking during peak periods is at or near 100% occupancy. This data will be critical in determining impacts both internal and external to the shopping center.
- Cross-parking easements may need to be considered if not shopping owner operated.

Stormwater Management:

- Built upon area calculations shall be provided to determine if additional stormwater management requirements will apply. If the amount of built-upon area is less than what currently exists, no additional stormwater management requirements shall apply. If the amount of proposed built upon area exceeds what currently exists, the runoff for the additional coverage from a 4.3" rainfall event shall be accommodated on-site.
- It is recommended the applicant consider the use of permeable pavement or pervious pavers.

Public Works/Water

- Ensure that the front load sanitation truck can easily turn into and back out of the entry for dumpster pick up. Pathing exhibit required to ensure turn radius can be met.

Building Inspections

- Review is limited until receipt of full of set of plans, including 2018 Appendix B with Plumbing, Mechanical and Electrical.

Fire

- Pavement markings and signage regarding the Fire Lane.
- Traffic generated by this proposed restaurant is unknown, therefore potential impacts cannot be identified.

Police

- Concerns regarding the potential increase in traffic generated by the proposed restaurant, if not operated or managed by the same company as the Outlets, may need cross easements to ensure shared use of facilities.

Ms. Wyatt noted that she as well as Chris Able with the Timmons Group were available to answer any questions for the Board.

Ms. Wyatt reminded the Board that the Town recently passed an ordinance eliminating drive-thru restaurants anywhere in the Town so there wouldn't be an opportunity for this site to add one in the future.

Mr. Elder inquired about the issues related to the drive aisle behind the mall that came up as part of the Board's previous review. Ms. Wyatt noted that the Outlet's management company has been in communication with the Fire Department and is taking initiative to clear those up.

Planning Staff Is Requesting that the Planning Board consider initiating a text to amendment to the Unified Development Ordinance as it pertains to the dormitory use in the SED-80, Special Environmental District.

Ms. Wyatt explained that in response to ongoing discussions and concerns regarding workforce housing availability within the County, the Town of Nags Head is actively exploring the potential construction of at least two residential duplexes. These structures would primarily serve as housing for the Town's lifeguards.

Town staff have been collaborating with Architect Chris Nason of Beacon Architecture and Design, PLLC to develop several potential layouts for these residential structures. The proposed site is the Town-owned property located at 425 W. Health Center Drive, formerly the Outer Banks Medical Center and now the Community Care Clinic. Specifically, the town is considering construction in the

area of where the old helipad is located as it is no longer functioning.

This property is located within SED-80 (Special Environmental District). Section 6.6 of the Unified Development Ordinance (UDO) states that Nursing Homes and Medical Offices are permitted via the Special Use Permit process in the SED-80 zoning designation, with supplemental regulations outlined in Section 7.41.

In addition, Section 7.41.6 of the supplemental regulation states: *"A dormitory for temporary use by staff of nursing homes may be allowed only in conjunction with nursing home facilities already permitted in the SED-80 District."*

Appendix A of the UDO, Definitions, defines a dormitory *as a commercial facility used for monthly rental of housing for unrelated residents in a communal non-transient living arrangement, with separate sleeping, bathing, and common living areas.*

As currently written, the UDO allows for dormitory structures meeting the criteria of Section 7.10 to be used on a temporary basis for staff employed by existing nursing home facilities within the SED-80 District.

Staff is requesting that the Planning Board consider initiating a text amendment to enable dormitories within the SED-80 District, thereby supporting the construction of town workforce housing at 425 W. Health Center Drive. Staff anticipates suggesting amendments to Section 7.41.6 but acknowledges that additional amendments may be necessary.

Ms. Wyatt then presented a very preliminary sketch plan of what a dormitory might look like.

Ms. Wyatt confirmed for Mr. Gwinn that it would not be necessarily limited to lifeguards but could also be used for other purposes such as temporary housing for essential staff during a storm.

David Elder moved to initiate the text amendment. Meade Gwinn seconded, and the motion passed unanimously.

Report on Board of Commissioners Actions – June 5, 2024

Ms. Wyatt gave an update on the Board of Commissioner Actions, of note: Ms. Wyatt introduced new Planner Greg Daisy; Ms. Wyatt also introduced Permitting Coordinator Lily Nieberding who was recognized for twenty years of service. A public hearing was held to consider a Text amendment to prohibit driving or parking on residential septic systems - the Board passed a motion to adopt the ordinance prohibiting driving or parking on residential septic areas as presented. A public hearing was also held to consider a Text amendment submitted by Jernigan Oil Company, Inc. - the Board passed a motion to adopt the ordinance to allow LED, digital displays for fueling stations as presented. Town Manager Garman presented and reviewed with Board members the amendments made to the Multi-Family Ordinance by the Multi-Family Ordinance Working Group.

Town Updates

None

Discussion Items

May 30th, 2024, Director's Report

Ms. Wyatt briefly discussed her Director's Report with the Board which included various activities involving staff.

Planning Board Members' Agenda

None

Planning Board Chairman's Agenda

None

Adjournment

A motion to adjourn was made by David Thompson. The time was 11:58 AM.

Respectfully submitted,
Lily Campos Nieberding

DRAFT